

Letters to the Editor ...

CIA's National (in)Security

Dear Editor: CIA looking for national security leaks? Puleeeze, gimme a break. Among the great absurdities of our time that in all likelihood will have to be documented by historians—mainstream media, as in the case of Monica Lewinsky, certainly won't—is the AP's latest announcement that the CIA is investigating the Executive Branch for security leaks that "jeopardize national security".

To understand just how absurd this is, one has only to consider the following: that this is the same CIA whose Soviet counter-espionage chief sold all its major secrets to the KGB for eight years with all the secrecy of a New Jersey garage sale; the same CIA, several of whose historians recently resigned in disgust, calling their "history"—currently being compiled at taxpayer expense—a "fraud and a whitewash"; the same CIA that a congressional committee, headed by Porter Goss (Florida, R.), himself an ex-CIA agent, called "poorly trained" and "unprepared to do their job"; the same CIA that was accused by DEA head Judge Bonner on *60 Minutes* in November of 1993 of being drug smugglers; the same CIA whose latest "secret" failure to topple Saddam Hussein, according to foreign journalists, cost tens of thousands of lives and the American taxpayer \$275 million, and was due to "gross ineptitude".

This is still the same CIA about whom Lyndon Johnson once said: "When the rich folks don't trust their sons with the family money, they send them on up to the CIA."

Well, as an insider with 25 years as a Federal enforcement officer, I want to attest to the fact that nothing has changed. Except that I didn't know that they had authority to conduct investigations inside the US. Have I missed something?

In any case, if the CIA is investigating the Executive Branch for leaks, you can bet it has a lot more to do with protecting their sorry image than national security.

Sincerely, Mike Levine, "The Expert Witness Show", WBAI, New York, rocamora53@aol.com

Heavy Attack on Light Theory

Dear Duncan: A gem in NEXUS Dec '97-Jan '98 [5/01] caught our interest: the review of

Peter Bros' book, *Where Science Went Wrong*. Science suppresses the fact that no one knows the nature of light or gravity, and that $E = hf$ and $F = GMm/r^2$ respectively are mere quantitative *descriptions*, not theories of science. Ironically, only the social and biological sciences are now based on mechanistic theories and explanations; physics has become pure mathematical magic! We agree, and are exposing this conspiracy.

On page 46 of the London *Times* newspaper, 21 Oct '97, we publicised a summary of the Catt, Davidson and Walton discovery that the "electromagnetic theory of light" is totally misconceived. James Clerk Maxwell in 1865 based it upon the assumption that metal wires are "solid" and are thus physically distinct from space (like the gap between capacitor plates in a circuit, or the space between the transmission and reception aerials in radio communication).

In fact, we truly know from X-rays that atoms are not solid; they are mainly empty *space*. We also know that electrical energy always travels at "the speed of light", not at the 1 cm/s so-called drift speed of electrons in textbook electric current! You do not need to wait minutes for your light to come on after pressing the switch, or for your calculator to add, or for energy to be oscillated up and down a radio aerial.

Electricity is composed of two effects, by analogy to sound and wind in the air. Air molecules move at sound-speed (their impacts cause air pressure), and this is physically why any excess pressure is propagated through the air at the average particle speed. Hence, if you feel a 10 mph breeze on one side of your hand, air molecules are colliding on that side at 650 mph and at only 640 mph on the other side. This *difference* in the speeds is what we sense as "wind". Electrons are spinning vortices of space; the spin plus other motions (orbital speed, etc.) always add up to "the speed of light". In fact, Maxwell's "theory of light" must apply not to light but to electric energy waves in wires (by analogy to sound in air) delivering energy at light-speed. The other effect of electricity, so-called electron drift, is an analogy to the slower-than-sound wind-speed illusion already described.

Since Einstein's relativity is based upon Maxwell's light (really, electricity inside conductors), our researchers have used this physical explanation of electricity to understand and explain the basis of physics.

Yours sincerely, Nigel Cook, Publisher, *Science World* magazine, Addlestone, Surrey, UK.

Tasmania's Monster Mystery

Dear Duncan: Once again "the powers that be" have successfully covered up one of the most important discoveries of the century. I refer of course to the unidentified life-form washed up on the beach near Sandy Cape in Tasmania, found just before Christmas 1997.

This story received scant media attention, mostly being relegated to the human interest section of most of the major newspapers, whilst the electronic media were more concerned with local sporting events. I found this hard to comprehend, especially since a similar organism was found in the same area 37 years previously.

When the "monster" of 1960 was found it created a media storm, being front page news in Sydney for several consecutive days. A government biologist, Dr Bruce Mollison, had travelled to the remote site in the wilds of southwest Tasmania and examined the carcass and was quoted as saying that it was neither "fish, fruit or fowl". Samples were taken for analysis and the scientists on the site reported that the hide was extremely difficult to cut with a knife, yet when heat was applied from a cigarette lighter the flesh seemed to "retract". Several international consortiums were interested in recovering the carcass for scientific examination.

Then the authorities stepped in. A prominent minister at the time (a former fighter pilot with the RAAF who later became Prime Minister) took over the investigation and declared that the samples taken were found to be whale blubber, despite the protestations of the scientists who had examined the creature. The specimens were filed and the carcass mysteriously "washed back into the sea" (though it was estimated to have weighed four tonnes!). The media then suddenly dropped the story.

It's interesting to note the parallels between this case and the

creature found recently. Already, the few articles in the papers have mentioned whale blubber and have tried to trivialise the issue.

I wait with bated breath for an excuse to be peddled out by the powers that be!

Yours faithfully, Geoff C., Minto, NSW, Australia.

Toxic Vaccines & Sunscreens

Dearest Duncan: Why is it I am not surprised by your article, "Governments vs the People: Crimes Against Humanity"? [NEXUS 5/02] They expect us to hand over our children's health so they can stick who knows what into their little bodies while telling us that these "vaccinations" are good for them! Who-knows-what they contain that we are not told about, let alone the poisons that we know *are* present. How many of our children are being given more than the basic vaccine, toxic in itself, with possible experimental chemicals also being unsuspectingly administered?

Vaccination of humans, animals and birds is the fourteenth largest industry in the world. It is the profiteers who urge us to vaccinate. Governments, pharmaceutical companies and doctors all get their cuts. (I would be interested to know how many informed doctors vaccinate their children.)

I urge people with children to do research before getting their children inoculated. There are natural alternatives to build the immune system. Don't let your child be an experiment or, worse, a statistic.

Incidentally, I would love to see an article on sunscreen and its relation to the increase of skin cancer. I believe the ingredients may be the reason for the sharp rise in skin melanomas. If you think about it, the rise in skin cancers seems to coincide with the big push to buy sunscreens. They contain chemicals from petroleum companies (scary enough) and our skin acts as a sponge, absorbing it all. We need this propaganda and profiting from people's health stopped. Thanks.

Regards, Veronica W., Two Wells, South Australia.

Iraqi Weapons & Big Business

Dear Editor: Foreign Affairs Minister Alexander Downer yesterday (12 February) defended Australian involvement in a gulf

... more Letters to the Editor

NB: Please keep letters to approx. 100-150 words in length. Ed.

war. Iraqi stockpiles of Vx nerve gas would be enough to poison the whole world!

Iraq has 600 unaccounted-for tonnes of raw materials which could be used to produce 200 tonnes of nerve gas. Iraq has 17 tonnes of unaccounted-for biological weapons material which could produce triple that amount of anthrax bacterial disease weapons.

Mr Downer said: "Twenty-eight grams or one ounce of anthrax bacteria in the air-conditioning system of a sports stadium could infect 70,000 people." (*Morning Bulletin*, 16 February) It's all true, but he didn't say that a British company sold all this deadly material to Iraq!

The BBC, broadcasting from London at 11 pm on 14 February, reported that the *Daily Telegraph* wrote a story about who did business with Saddam Hussein between 1991 and 1994, selling very dangerous material with the possible effect of the massive destruction of people. Only 385 pounds of this deadly material can kill three million people. This material has been sold by an Anglo-Dutch company with British conservative government permission: an export licence. With 2,000 pounds of this material it is easy to make anthrax, the most deadly biological weapon on this planet. All this material was transported in four shipments labelled as "Dental-Medical Material".

Today, when our Prime Minister Howard is saying that Australia is in danger, he is telling the truth because the British Government and big business people with a vision for profit sell atomic bombs or anthrax to anyone who has the money! So, 385 pounds can kill three million people, and 3,000 pounds can kill 15.5 to 24 million people.

We small people of the planet must hope that Saddam Hussein has more brains and responsibility than the USA-Britain-Australia alliance. How much deadly material has Saddam Hussein obtained from other countries? Our lives are in his hands. If our media only knew it, the danger to Australia is coming from a very different direction, and political lying can kill millions of innocent people.

Take this notice, electors: you must take responsibility for your

children at the next election. Lazy thinking sometimes means that you dig your own grave—for yourself and for your children.

Robert N., Emu Park, Queensland, Australia.

Investing in Free Energy

Dear Mr Roads: We are a Brazilian financial group acting in the market of components for musical instruments. Recently we decided to invest in the serial production of devices which can promise a return for investment in the fields of free energy, antigravitation and inertial thrust.

Our purpose is to provide for the self-sufficiency of little- and medium-sized alternative-minded human groups, firstly on the energy and locomotion level, making them independent from mega-concerns. The rest of the development of our intentions certainly shall come as a consequence, covering the whole human society in a progressive way, avoiding the social tensions of a substantial direction change like this, perhaps over some decades.

Please, if you also have the same intention for mankind, we would be grateful to you for publishing our letter, in order that we may provide financial support for researchers who have some positive device or achievement in this direction. We would also provide the industrial production.

Truly yours, Dr-Ing. Eugene V. Follmann, Presidente, Follmann & Sons Ltd, Rua 3 No. 570, Jardim Henrique Martins, CEP 07600 000, Mairiporã, São Paulo, Brazil.

Health Freedoms Threatened

Dear Citizen: By now most readers have heard of the government/pharmaceutical industry agenda to regulate all natural nutritional substances. The story of how the Governor-General of Australia signed the Therapeutic Goods Act bill on 19 December 1997 while everyone was distracted, preparing for Christmas, will no doubt be a classic story in the history of sleazy underhanded political moves of the century. However, many citizens of Australia may not know the story behind the story about the Codex.

After the Second World War, the war where many Australian lives were lost fighting the Nazis, the Nuremberg trials tried and judged

many of the leaders of the Holocaust including the pharmaceutical company in Germany that manufactured the gas that killed the Jews.

After the trial was over, this company was disbanded and separated into three different companies, one being the Bayer pharmaceutical company famous for its aspirin products. In recent years since the war, these companies have amalgamated, re-creating the once-powerful cartel that served Nazi Germany. It is this company that has created the Codex.

This information was researched by Dr Zoltan Rhona of Toronto, Canada, and has proven to be reliable. Unfortunately for our Canadian friends who are known for their passivity, their government has allowed the rights of their citizens to be extinguished by the powerful pharmaceutical Nazis offering huge financial rewards to their government and the pharmaceutical industry.

Now it's Australia's turn and it's happening fast unless we write to our members of parliament and let them know the truth. In the US the citizens have managed to keep Codex out. What is in store for Australia?

The Codex agenda is to control not only the herbs, homoeopathic remedies, etc. that we would expect, but also minerals from the soil, chlorophyll, plant enzymes, sugars, essential oils, algae and even bee pollen. Big Brother has arrived in Australia and he is a storm trooper in disguise. They have added books and literature concerning natural food remedies to their domain. We have only until March to voice our concerns.

G.F., Western Australia.

House of Stewart or Stuart?

Dear Editor: I am just reading "The Hidden History of Jesus and the Holy Grail" [5/02]. I note the following sentence: "This was a dynastic Order of Scotland's Royal House of Stewart." As any true Scotsman knows, there are two spellings of Stewart and Stuart. The Stuart spelling is the royal spelling. Therefore the sentence should read: "This was a dynastic Order of Scotland's Royal House of Stuart." A very important difference to a Stuart. A major error!

Thanks, A Stuart's girlfriend.
[Dear girlfriend of a Stuart:

According to author/speaker Sir Laurence Gardner, in Scottish terms the name has always been "Stewart". Mary, Queen of Scots emerged from her childhood in France with the name "Stuart", as there was then no 'w' in the French alphabet. This spelling persisted in Britain through the balance of the reigns of her heirs and for the *de jure* family until 1892. Mary's grandson, James VI of Scots (James I of England) is generally referred to as a Stuart. Ed.]

Eclectic Madness and Insight

Dear NEXUS: I've been reading your mag for over a year now and from the first time I opened its rough pages I knew I was in for an interesting read. Having dipped my toe in the pool of "alternative" thought (is there a better phrase: unpopular/fringe/heretical/unconventional, perhaps?) for about two years now, I find your mag a reliable and exciting source of eclectic madness and insight (in about equal measure!). Well done!

Prior to my discovery I had assumed that such subjects as alternative history, forbidden science, the global elite conspiracy, alien paradigm, etc., were the sole preserve of *lone crackpots*! It was a great relief to realise that there were thousands of (seemingly!) sane, like-minded people around the world, each with views and suspicions, determinedly trying to find their own answers, unwilling to accept what "they" want...

The articles are usually well written and referenced. And there is often something you'd never read elsewhere, such as "reverse talking". I have particularly enjoyed the recent Harry Mason articles and the multiple implications of his theories. And I always enjoy reading David Guyatt's pieces and anything on the money system generally.

My praise is not unreserved, for I suspect that each issue contains both misinformation (accidental) and disinformation (intentional) amongst the information. But then, this is inevitable in a magazine such as this. And it is our job as readers to try to distinguish between the varying, sometimes conflicting, tales...

Best wishes, Hugh G., Irby, Wirral, Merseyside, UK.

(Hugh, if there is any disinformation in NEXUS it is unintentional. Ed.)